

Model Risk Management Principles for Banks

Quantitative Risk

Introduction



In May 2023, the PRA has issued a Supervisory Statement (SS1/23) as part of a <u>Policy Statement (PS 6/23)</u> which also incudes feedback on the consultation paper (CP) 6/22 - Model Risk Management Principles for Banks. The policy becomes effective on Friday, 17 May 2024.

What does SS1/23 include?

- Guidance and sets standards for how the regulated UK-incorporated banks, building societies, and PRAdesignated investment firms should manage model risk. Notably, the SS does not apply to credit unions, insurers, and reinsurers, but third-country firms operating in the UK through a branch can still benefit from the outlined principles.
- When the Supervisory Statement (SS) was published, the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) had not finalised its definition of 'Simpler-regime Firms' within the Strong & Simple Framework. As a result, at this stage the PRA has narrowed the scope of the expectations in SS1/23 to apply only to firms with internal models (IM).
- It presents five high-level principles that encompass the entire model lifecycle, enabling effective management of model risk across all model and risk types.

What's the purpose of SS1/23?

To enhance and fortify the policies, procedures, and practices concerning the utilisation of various models within regulated institutions. This includes models developed both internally and externally, as well as those used for financial reporting purposes.

What's PRA's view?

The PRA views the principles in the Supervisory Statement (SS) as essential core disciplines for achieving effective Model Risk Management (MRM) across all models and risks, promoting MRM as a distinct risk discipline for firms.

What does it mean for European banks?

The 2023 guide to internal models published by the ECB offers high-level information on implementing the model risk management framework. Although the Model Risk Management Supervisory Statement is specifically aimed at UK banks, the detailed principles and practices it contains can be customised and utilised to support European banks as well.

Background

The Supervisory Statement (SS1/23) on Model Risk Management (MRM) principles for banks addresses model usage challenges, emphasising robust governance and effective MRM practices.

How can firms ensure the correct implementation and use of quantitative methods?

Firms use various quantitative methods, including models, to support their daily operations and business decisions, and **good risk management involves testing their correct implementation and use**.

Model risk is the possibility of adverse consequences from model errors or improper use in business decisions, with risk increasing as models become more complex, uncertain input data is used, and interconnected models and data sources are involved.

What is Model Risk?

Why Model Governance & Risk Management is important?

Firms' extensive use of models for business decisions, risk management, reporting, and increasing reliance on sophisticated modeling techniques, emphasises the need for robust model governance and effective Model Risk Management (MRM) practices to avoid adverse consequences arising from inadequate design, implementation, and usage of models.

Does MRM eliminate all risks?

An effective Model Risk Management (MRM) framework, including comprehensive governance and oversight, coupled with model lifecycle management covering core modelling, model validation, and model risk controls, helps reduce model risk but cannot eliminate it.



What are the key elements of Effective MRM?

Effective Model Risk Management (MRM) relies on defining clear roles for model owners, users, and developers, establishing a designated MRM function or committees for risk controls and validation, ensuring validators' impartiality, and implementing robust governance and model lifecycle management processes.

© 2023 Grant Thornton Advisory (Cyprus) Ltd. All rights reserved.

The MRM principles included in the Supervisory Statement (SS1/23) are crucial for establishing a robust framework to support key business decisions and align with the board's model risk appetite, ensuring effective MRM practices.

Principle 1: Model identification and model risk classification

Firms should have a clear definition of models, maintain a complete model inventory, and employ a risk-based tiering approach to prioritise validation and identify high-risk models.

1.1. Firms should have **a formal definition** of a model for their Model Risk Management (MRM) framework, including quantitative methods for processing data and implementing management controls for impactful deterministic methods (e.g., decision-based rules or algorithms not classified as models).

1.2 Model Inventory



Clear Model

Definition

1.2. Firms should maintain a **complete and accurate model inventory** to identify model risk sources, facilitate reporting, and reveal direct and indirect model inter-dependencies, including details such as model purpose, use, assumptions, limitations, validation findings, and governance information.

1.3. Firms should **implement a consistent risk-based model tiering approach** that assesses materiality and complexity, prioritises validation activities, and identifies models with the highest risk to the firm's business activities and safety, with periodic validation and reassessment of model tier assignments.

Principle 2: Governance

2.1

Board of

Director's

Responsibilities

Firms require robust governance oversight, with a board that encourages an MRM culture from the top, establishes a well-defined model risk appetite, approves the MRM policy, and designates an accountable individual to implement an effective MRM framework.

2.1. The board of directors is responsible for establishing a comprehensive Model Risk Management framework, setting a model risk appetite, receiving regular reports on model risk, and challenging the outcomes of the most significant models.

SMF accountability for MRM framework

2.3 Policies and procedures

2.5

Internal

2.4 Roles and responsibilities

e of Externally

Developed Mode<u>ls, Third-</u>

arty Vendor

2.2. A relevant Senior Management Function (SMF) oversees the Model Risk Management framework, including implementation, maintenance, compliance, independent validation, and resource allocation. It should promptly address and rectify any framework issues, clarify roles and responsibilities of model owners, developers, and users within the firm.

2.3. Firms should develop and maintain comprehensive policies and procedures that establish the framework, align with broader risk management policies, and cover all aspects of the model lifecycle to ensure effective implementation, risk identification, and timely addressing of model risks.

2.4. To ensure effective Model Risk Management (MRM), firms need to **define roles and responsibilities**, document the essential skills, experience, and expertise required for each stage of the model lifecycle, and establish responsibilities for monitoring and validation processes of the models.

2.5. Internal Audit (IA) evaluates MRM framework efficiency, adherence to policies, and conducts independent assessments of risk controls, validation activities, compliance with internal policies, and processes by model owners and model risk control functions.

2.6. Boards and senior management are responsible for model risk management, even in third-party arrangements. Firms should validate vendor models to internal standards, verify data and assumptions, and monitor vendor model performance. Subsidiaries can use parent-group validation models based on certain conditions.

Principle 3: Model Development, Implementation and Use

Firms should establish a robust model development process, including standards for design, implementation, selection, and performance measurement, with regular testing to identify, monitor, record, and address model limitations and weaknesses.

3.1. Models should be purpose-driven, with **well-designed objectives**, **conceptually sound variables**, **accurate calculations**, and **valid assumptions**, and should be **compared to alternative approaches**, with clear communication of merits, limitations, and sensitivities to stakeholders.

3.2 The Use of Data

3.1

Model

Purpose and

Design

3.3 Model

Development

Testing

3.4 Model

Adjustment &

Expert

Judgment

3.2. The model development process should ensure **suitable and unbiased data usage, compliance with data regulations, and appropriate documentation of adjustments and limitations,** with consideration of interconnected and alternative data sources for tier classification and validation.

3.3. Model development testing should assess a **model's functionality and quality** through backward and forward-looking performance tests, **comparisons with challenger models, and testing for material model changes,** including dynamic models.

3.4. Firms must effectively manage model limitations and uncertainties, employing expert judgment for justified adjustments, proper documentation, and considering potential impacts on related models and the need for remedial actions.

3.5. Firms must maintain comprehensive and up-to-date model documentation, including data sources, methodology, performance testing, and model limitations with expert judgment used for adjustments, ensuring it allows for independent understanding and validation.



Documentation

3.6. Models should be **implemented in thoroughly tested and suitable information systems**, subject to rigorous quality control and change control processes, and **periodically reassessed** for continued suitability.

Principle 4: Independent Model Validation

Firms should establish an ongoing, independent, and effective validation process that addresses model development and usage, ensuring prompt action on remediation or redevelopment recommendations to ensure models suit their intended purpose.

4.1 **4.1.** Firms must have an independent validation function that **objectively** The Independent assesses model suitability, system design, data accuracy, and output relevance, providing periodic re-validation and timely recommendations for Validation model approvals. Function 4.2. All models must be subject to an independent review to assess suitability, covering all components, data quality, and evidence, and ndependen considering materiality based on the model's tier and any changes Review made. 4.3 4.3. Firms must conduct process verification to confirm the effective and Process accurate functioning of model components, including inputs, calculations, Verification and reporting outputs. 4.4 4.4. Firms should **continuously monitor model performance**, using a variety Model of tests to assess validity, assumptions, and potential adjustments, with Performanc timely and accurate reports that are subject to independent review. e Monitoring 4.5. Firms should periodically conduct less detailed independent revalidation of models to ensure they have operated as intended and to determine the validity of evalidatio previous findings.

Principle 5: Model Risk Mitigants

Firms should have policies for using model risk mitigants during under-performance and procedures for independently reviewing post-model adjustments.



5.1. Firms should establish a **documented and consistent process for applying post-model adjustments (PMAs)** to address model limitations and ensure their appropriate use, supported by senior management and subject to independent review.

5.2. Firms should **apply restrictions on model use** if significant deficiencies or errors are identified during validation, **documenting the issues and tracking the remediation status**.

5.3. Firms should establish and implement **procedures for managing temporary exceptions in model use**, subject to post-model adjustments, and promptly **communicate model exceptions to key stakeholders** through escalation processes.

Feedback & Changes

The PRA's five principles are considered as key for an effective Model Risk Management Framework. The main changes applied to the scope and principles by PRA, based on the feedbacks received on the previous consultation paper (CP6/22) are presented below:



What can firms do to prepare?

Companies ought to prioritise a swift diagnostic process aimed at pinpointing significant gaps and immediate actions for improvement. This should precede a thorough self-evaluation and the formulation of a program to enhance capabilities.

Vision, Technology & Operating Model

- ✓ A vision to formulate a model risk management framework which will cover significant shifts in certain MRM aspects.
- ✓ Explore and improve technologically-driven solutions for managing inventories, measuring MRM, and reporting.
- ✓ Create a specialized team to achieve and uphold your envisioned MRM future, involving collaboration across functions.
- ✓ Guarantee ample resources for meeting upcoming demands and implementing improvements effectively.

Gaps Identification & Educational Sessions

- ✓ Place an emphasis on conducting a targeted diagnostic process, to identify any existing gaps between the established requirements and the PRA's five principles as well as 2023 ECB guide to internal models.
- $\checkmark\,$ Take immediate actions to make fast improvements by implementing enhancements to the MRM.
- ✓ Engage in comprehensive educational sessions with both the Board and Senior Management to promote a high-priority agenda for model risk management from the topdown.
- ✓ Make sure the broader organisation is informed about the requirements and how they will affect their respective responsibilities.

Self-Assessment & Enhancement Roadmap

- ✓ Conduct a self-evaluation within a year of the publication of the SS, results for *UK banks will potentially be submitted to the PRA; likewise, European banks are advised to assess their alignment with the latest ECB guidelines. Given that PRA principles are more detailed, European banks can also utilise and customise them to implement their model risk management framework.
- Create a comprehensive plan to address gaps and improve alignment with the MRM requirements and goals.
- Establish a robust reporting framework for model risk, particularly emphasising effective reporting on MRM performance for financial models.
- ✓ Compare and measure against industry peers' leading approaches in managing model risk.

Monitoring & Awareness

START NOW

NEXT 6

MONTHS

- ✓ Continuously monitor and adjust the MRM approach as needed.
- ✓ Foster a culture of awareness and continuous improvement in MRM practices.
- \checkmark Adapt to changes in regulations and industry standards related to MRM.
- Stay informed about emerging technologies that could further enhance MRM capabilities.
- ✓ Enabling ongoing team proficiency through regular requirements and guideline updates in MRM.

*Note: The scope of the SS1/23 includes banks, building societies and designated investment firms.

10

NGOING

How Grant Thornton can support you

Elevate your Model Risk Management with our expertise in assessments, policies, governance, technology, and compliance.



We can support the establishment of a robust model risk governance framework, defining roles, responsibilities, reporting lines, and oversight structures.

11

Contact

Our team can support you in implementing effective model risk management practices to ensure accurate and reliable model performance, validation, and ongoing monitoring. Our services are flexible and efficient, designed to facilitate and support your business model. Contact us today to discuss.



Dwayne Price Partner, Financial Services Advisory T +353 (0)1 436 6494 E dwayne.price@ie.gt.com



Vivian Lagan Managing Director, BRS Model Risk Management and Cosource Service T+44 (0)20 7865 2240 E vivian.o.lagan@uk.gt.com



Lukas Majer Director, Head of Quantitative Risk Spain T +353 (0)1 646 9006 E lukas.majer@ie.gt.com



Maria Yiasouma Assistant Manaaer. Quantitative Risk T +357 22 600 161



Partner, **Risk & Analytics** T +34 91 576 3999 E daniel.fernandezd@es.gt.com

Jonathan Fitzpatrick

Risk Ireland

T+35316805805

Daniel Fernández Domínguez



Andreas Spyrides

Paul Young

FS BRS

Managing Director,

Director, Head of Quantitative Risk Cyprus T +357 22 600 270 E andreas.spyrides@cy.gt.com



Mark Perry Director, Quantitative Risk T+353 (0)1408 6909 E mark.perry@ie.gt.com

Director, Head of Quantitative E jonathan.fitzpatrick@ie.gt.com



T+44 (0)20 7865 2781 E paul.l.young@uk.gt.com **Benjamin Marshall**

Associate Director, Quantitative Risk T +353 (0)1 680 5955 E <u>benjamin.marshall@ie.gt.com</u>

E maria.yiasouma@cy.gt.com

Offices in Cyprus, United Kingdom, Ireland and Spain



f

linkedin.com/company/grantthorntoncy



facebook.com/grantthorntoncyprus

instagram.com/grantthorntoncy



© 2023 Grant Thornton Advisory (Cyprus) Ltd. All rights reserved.

"Grant Thornton" refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton Quantitative Risk (Cyprus) Limited is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a Risk (Cyprus) Limited is a member immore from a restrict manual member induced and the rest and the induced muscle inter-worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.